ORGANIC LETTERS

2009 Vol. 11, No. 9 2039–2042

Synthesis of Benzazoles by Hydrogen-Transfer Catalysis

A. John Blacker,*,† Mohamed M. Farah,† Michael I. Hall,‡ Stephen P. Marsden,*,† Ourida Saidi,‡ and Jonathan M. J. Williams*,‡

School of Chemistry and Institute of Process Research and Development, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, U.K., and Department of Chemistry, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath BA2 7AY, U.K.

j.blacker@leeds.ac.uk; s.p.marsden@leeds.ac.uk; j.m.j.williams@bath.ac.uk

Received March 18, 2009

ABSTRACT

Transition-metal-catalyzed hydrogen-transfer reactions have been used for the conversion of alcohols into benzimidazoles and aldehydes into benzoxazoles and benzothiazoles.

Transition-metal-catalyzed hydrogen-transfer reactions have been widely used for the oxidation of a range of organic substrates. In particular, the oxidation of alcohols to carbonyl compounds by hydrogen transfer to a suitable acceptor represents a useful alternative to other oxidation reactions. The use of hydrogen transfer for the oxidation of aldehydes and amines has also been reported. Additionally, oxidation reactions in the absence of a hydrogen acceptor have been achieved in the presence of ruthenium catalysts for the conversion of primary alcohols into esters or amides, as well as the conversion of secondary alcohols into ketones.

We wanted to explore oxidation reactions where aromatization could act as a driving force for loss of hydrogen. Herein, we report the first examples of catalytic hydrogentransfer reactions for the formation of benzimidazoles, benzoxazoles, and benzothiazoles. These heteroaromatic

systems are commonly encountered groups in natural products, pharmaceuticals, agrochemicals, and other effect chemicals, and new methods for their preparation are in frequent demand.

[†] University of Leeds.

[‡] University of Bath.

^{(1) (}a) Backvall, J.-E., Ed. *Modern Oxidation Methods*; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2004. (b) Samec, J. S. M.; Bäckvall, J.-E.; Andersson, P. G.; Brandt, P. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2006**, *35*, 237.

^{(2) (}a) Zassinovich, G.; Mestroni, G.; Gladiali, S. *Chem. Rev.* **1992**, 92, 1051. (b) Palmer, M. J.; Wills, M. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1999**, 10, 2045. (c) Gladiali, S.; Alberico, E. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2006**, 35, 226.

⁽³⁾ Murahashi, S.-I.; Naota, T.; Ito, K.; Maeda, Y.; Taki, H. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 4319.

⁽⁴⁾ Choi, H.; Doyle, M. P. Chem. Commun. 2007, 745. (a) Samec, J. S. M.; Éll, A. H.; Bäckvall, J.-E. Chem.—Eur. J. 2005, 11, 2327.

^{(5) (}a) Ichikawa, N.; Sato, S.; Takahashi, R.; Sodesawa, T.; Inui, K. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2004**, *212*, 197. (b) Zhao, J.; Hartwig, J. F. *Organometallics* **2005**, *24*, 2441.

^{(6) (}a) Gunanathan, C.; Ben-David, Y.; Milstein, D. Science 2007, 317, 790. (b) Nordstrøm, L. U.; Vogt, H.; Madsen, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 17672. (c) Zweifel, T.; Naubron, J-V.; Grützmacher, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 41, 559.

⁽⁷⁾ Adair, G. R. A.; Williams, J. M. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2005**, *46*, 8233. (8) For examples, see: (a) Ueki, M.; Ueno, K.; Miyadoh, S.; Abe, K.; Shibata, K.; Taniguchi, M.; Oi, S. *J. Antibiot.* **1993**, *46*, 1089. (b) Kusumi, T.; Ooi, T.; Wälchli, M. R.; Kakisawa, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *110*, 2954. (c) Chaney, M. O.; Demarco, P. V.; Jones, N. D.; Occolowitz, J. L.

J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 1932.

⁽⁹⁾ Battershill, A. J.; Scott, L. J. *Drugs* **2006**, *66*, 51. For some recent examples of pharmaceutical candidates, see: (a) Yoshida, S.; Watanabe, T.; Sato, Y. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2007**, *15*, 3515. (b) Wang, B.; Vernier, J.-M.; Rao, S.; Chung, J.; Anderson, J. J.; Brodkin, J. D.; Jiang, X.; Gardner, M. F.; Yang, X.; Munoz, B. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2004**, *12*, 17. (c) Gong, B.; Hong, F.; Kohm, C.; Bonham, L.; Klein, P. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2004**, *14*, 1455. (d) Ott, G. R.; Asakawa, N.; Lu, Z.; Anand, R.; Liu, R.-Q.; Covington, M. B.; Vaddi, K.; Qian, M.; Newton, R. C.; Christ, D. D.; Trzaskos, J. M.; Duan, J. J.-W. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2008**, *18*, 1577. (e) Ishida, T.; Suzuki, T.; Hirashima, S.; Mizutani, K.; Yoshida, A.; Ando, I.; Ikeda, S.; Adachi, T.; Hashimoto, H. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2006**, *16*, 1859. (f) Falco, J. L.; Pique, M.; Gonza, M.; Buira, I.; Mendez, E.; Terencio, J.; Perez, C.; Princep, M.; Palomer, A.; Guglietta, A. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2006**, *41*, 985.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Fungicidal Agents. Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology; Wiley: New York, 1980; Vol. 11, pp 490–498.

The proposed reaction pathway is described in Scheme 1. Starting from alcohol 1, catalytic hydrogen transfer to an

Scheme 1. Conversion of Alcohols or Aldehydes into Benzazoles

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ Acceptor} \\ \text{[M] catalyst} \\ \text{Oxidation 1} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 1} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{H}_3 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{Oxidation 2} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \end{array} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{ N} \\ \text{ROH} & \begin{array}{c$$

appropriate acceptor via "Oxidation 1" would lead to the formation of aldehyde **2**. Alternatively, aldehyde **2** could be used directly as the substrate. In either case, condensation of **2** with an ortho-heterosubstituted aniline **3** generates an intermediate imine **4**, which would be in equilibrium with dihydrobenzazole **5**. A second hydrogen-transfer process from **5** then provides a route to benzazole **6** via "Oxidation 2".

Two of us (J.M.J.W./M.I.H.) have recently reported the use of Ru(PPh₃)₃(CO)H₂ in combination with the bidentate ligand Xantphos **7**¹¹ for the oxidation of alcohols by hydrogen transfer. We therefore chose to use this catalyst for our initial investigations. 4-Methylbenzyl alcohol **1a** was reacted with *o*-aminoaniline **8** in the presence of ruthenium catalyst and crotononitrile **9**, which led to the formation of benzimidazole **10a**, as shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Catalyst Optimization for the Formation of Benzimidazole **10a**

entry	catalyst $(2.5 \text{ mol } \%)^a$	$\mathrm{additive}^b$	conversion (%)
1	Ru(PPh ₃) ₃ (CO)H ₂	none	48
2	$Ru(PPh_3)_3(CO)H_2$	$5 \text{ mol } \% \text{ of } \mathbf{A}$	97
3	$Ru(PPh_3)_3(CO)H_2$	10 mol % of A	6
4	$Ru(PPh_3)_3(CO)H_2$	10 mol % of B	75
5	$Ru(PPh_3)_3(CO)H_2$	$15 \text{ mol } \% \text{ of } \mathbf{B}$	98
6	$Ru(PPh_3)_3(CO)H_2$	$20 \text{ mol } \% \text{ of } \mathbf{B}$	98
7	$[Ru(p\text{-cymene})Cl_2]_2^c$ dppe	none	0
8	$[Cp*IrCl_2]_2^d$	none	0

 a Entries 7 and 8 were run at 1.25 mol % of the catalyst dimer (i.e., 2.5 mol % in Ru or Ir). b Additive **A** is p-TsOH. Additive **B** is piperidinium acetate. c Acetone was used as the acceptor in place of **9**. d Styrene was used as the acceptor in place of **9**

Table 2. Benzimidazoles Prepared in Scheme 3

entry	benzimidazole product ^a	isolated yield (%)
1	Me———H	73
2	N N	72
3	$MeO = \bigvee_{N} \overset{H}{\overset{N}{\longrightarrow}}$	79
4		78
5	F_3C	65
6	H N Me	43
7	S N	71
8		68
9		70
10	Me ₂ N	60
11 ^b	$Ar = 4-MeOC_6H_4$	85

^a Conditions: alcohol (1.0 mmol), *o*-aminoaniline (1.2 mmol), crotononitrile (2.2 mmol), piperidinium acetate (15 mol %).

In the absence of any further additive, we were pleased to observe a reasonable conversion into product (Table 1, entry 1). However, the addition of either 5 mol % of *p*-TsOH (entry 2) or piperidinium acetate (entries 4–6) provided an improved conversion. We believe that *p*-TsOH reacts with the ruthenium dihydride to form a ditosylate and that

2040 Org. Lett., Vol. 11, No. 9, 2009

⁽¹¹⁾ Kranenburg, M.; van der Burgt, Y. E. M.; Kamer, P. C. J.; van Leeuwen, P. W. N. M. *Organometallics* **1995**, *14*, 3081.

piperidinium acetate may promote addition of *o*-aminoaniline **8** to the intermediate aldehyde by temporary iminium ion formation

The use of [Ru(p-cymene)Cl₂]₂/dppe with acetone as an acceptor¹³ and the use of $[Cp*IrCl_2]_2$ (Cp* = pentamethylcyclopentadienyl) with styrene as the acceptor¹⁴ gave no formation of product, and therefore, the conditions identified in entry 5, Table 1, were used for further reactions. A range of alcohols 1 was converted into the corresponding benzimidazoles 10 as shown in Table 2. The benzimidazole product precipitated from the reaction mixture upon cooling. Benzylic alcohols were converted into benzimidazoles in good yield including electron-rich (entries 3 and 4) and electron-deficient (entry 5) substrates, although the o-methylsubstituted benzyl alcohol gave a lower isolated yield (entry 6). The 2-thienyl and 2-furyl substrates (entries 7 and 8) were also found to be effective, as were nonbenzylic substrates (entries 9 and 10). The reaction is not limited to simple o-aminoanilines, with heterocyclization also being effected upon 2,3-diaminophenazine in good yield (entry 11).

Table 3. Ir-Catalyzed Conversion of Benzaldehyde into 2-Phenylbenzoxazole

entry	${\rm reaction} \ {\rm conditions}^a$	${\rm conversion}^b\ (\%)$
1	80 °C	15
2	80 °C, styrene (1.5 equiv)	12
3	80 °C, MeCO ₂ H (1.0 equiv)	13
4	reflux	52
5	reflux, $c = 5 \text{ M}$	100
6	reflux, styrene (1.5 equiv)	50
7	reflux, [Cp*IrCl ₂] ₂ as catalyst	17
8	reflux, 0.1 mol % of $[Cp*IrI_2]_2$	17
9	reflux, no catalyst	<5

 a Conditions: benzaldehyde (1.0 mmol), o-aminophenol (1.0 mmol), toluene, c=0.5 M, 24 h. b Conversion determined by NMR ratio of Schiff base to benzoxazole product.

We next examined the formation of benzoxazoles. However, the reaction of benzyl alcohol or benzaldehyde with o-aminophenol **11a** using the Ru(PPh₃)₃(CO)H₂/Xantphos combination led to a complex mixture of products from which benzoxazole 12a could not be isolated. The iridium complex $[Cp*IrI_2]_2$ (SCRAM catalyst) has previously been shown by one of us (A.J.B.) to be effective for the racemization of α -branched amines via temporary oxidation to an achiral imine, ¹⁵ and we therefore examined the use of this catalyst for benzoxazole formation. Although no reaction took place between benzyl alcohol and o-aminophenol 11a, we were pleased to find that by starting at the aldehyde oxidation state, the reaction of benzoxazole 12a using $[Cp*IrI_2]_2$ under a range of conditions (Table 3).

Table 4. Acceptorless Oxidation of Aldehydes to Benzoxazoles

entry	benzimidazole product ^a	isolated yield (%)
1		74
2	Me	77
3	MeO N	85
4	NC-\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	36
5		61
6		80
7	t-Bu—N	52
8	O N Me	77
9	Me N Me	80
10	S N Me	69
11	Me—O CI	63
12	MeO N CI	68

^a Conditions: aldehyde (1.0 mmol), o-aminophenol (1.2 mmol), [Cp*IrI₂]₂ (1 mol %), PhMe (0.2 cm³), 111 °C, 24 h.

At 80 $^{\circ}$ C, only small amounts of product were formed (entries 1-3); however, when the reaction was performed

Org. Lett., Vol. 11, No. 9, 2009

^{(12) (}a) Slatford, P. A.; Whittlesey, M. K.; Williams, J. M. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2006**, 47, 6787. (b) Hall, M. I.; Pridmore, S. J.; Williams, J. M. J. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2008**, 350, 1975. (c) Owston, N. A.; Parker, A. J.; Williams, J. M. J. *Chem. Commun.* **2008**, 624.

⁽¹³⁾ Hamid, M. H. S. A.; Williams, J. M. J. Chem. Commun. 2007, 725.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Owston, N. A.; Parker, A. J.; Williams, J. M. J. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 73.

^{(15) (}a) Blacker, A. J.; Stirling, M. J. WO2004046059, Avecia Pharmaceuticals Ltd., 2004. (b) Blacker, A. J.; Stirling, M. J.; Page, M. I. *Org. Proc. Res. Dev.* **2007**, *11*, 642. (c) Stirling, M.; Blacker, J.; Page, M. I. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2007**, *48*, 1247.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Nakajima, T.; Inada, T.; Igarishi, T.; Sekioka, T.; Shimizu, I. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **2006**, *79*, 1941.

at reflux, a higher conversion was obtained (entries 4–6), and quantitative conversion was observed when the reaction was carried out at the higher concentration of 5 M (entry 5). Notably, the reactions were equally effective in the presence or absence of styrene as a sacrificial hydrogen acceptor (entries 1 and 4 versus entries 2 and 6). This suggests that the aromatizing oxidation is ultimately mediated by loss of hydrogen gas from an intermediate (di)hydridoiridium complex. The liberation of hydrogen has previously been observed accompanying the oxidative self-dimerization of primary amines by [Cp*IrI₂]₂. ^{15b}

The diiodide [Cp*IrI₂]₂ was found to be a superior catalyst to the corresponding dichloride [Cp*IrCl₂]₂ (entry 7), as expected from their known relative effectiveness as catalysts for amine oxidation.¹³ Conversion was too slow to be synthetically useful at a 0.1 mol % catalyst loading (entry 8). Finally, as a control we verified that in the absence of catalyst essentially no product was formed (entry 9).

We then applied these reaction conditions to the conversion of a range of aldehydes 2 into benzoxazoles 12 (Table 4).

Good isolated yields were obtained in the majority of cases. Benzaldehyde (entry 1) and electron-rich benzaldehydes (entries 2 and 3) were good substrates, although the electron-deficient *p*-cyanobenzaldehyde (entry 4) and aliphatic aldehyde (entry 7) only gave moderate yields, consistent with a mechanism involving a hydride abstraction with associated buildup of partial cation character. The use of heterocyclic aldehydes (entries 5 and 6) and substituted *o*-aminophenols (entries 8–11) was successful in all cases. Enolizable aliphatic aldehydes were not suitable substrates, however, giving rise instead to hydroxyquinoline derivatives via enamine condensation/cyclization pathways.¹⁶

Finally, the reaction conditions used for benzoxazole synthesis were also successfully applied to the synthesis of a benzothiazole. Thus, *p*-tolualdehyde **2b** and *o*-aminothiophenol **13** underwent oxidative cyclization to generate benzothiazole **14** (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2. Formation of a Benzothiazole

In summary, ruthenium-catalyzed hydrogen-transfer reactions have been used for the conversion of alcohols into benzimidazoles using crotononitrile as a hydride acceptor, while iridium-catalyzed acceptorless oxidation has been used for the highly atom economic conversion of aldehydes into benzoxazoles and benzothiazoles.

Acknowledgment. We thank the EPSRC for funding through Grant No. EP/F038321/1 and Piramal Healthcare for further support.

Supporting Information Available: Details of experimental procedures and characterization data are provided. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL900557U

2042 Org. Lett., Vol. 11, No. 9, 2009